IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

VINCENT P. JIMENEZ,

Plaintiff,

v. 11-cv-0707 WJ/SMV

OFFICER JEFFREY STONE,
OFFICER KEVIN TODACHEENIE,
OFFICER SARGENT LUNA,
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE,
THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE POLICE DEPARTMENT,
METROPOLITAN DETENTION CENTER,
CAPTAIN CANDELARIA,
JUDGE RAMCZYK,
JOHN DOES 1-9

INITIAL SCHEDULING ORDER

This case is assigned to me for scheduling, case management, discovery, and all non-dispositive motions. Both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as amended, as well as the Local Rules of the Court apply to this lawsuit. Civility and professionalism will be required of counsel. Counsel shall read and comply with "A Lawyer's Creed of Professionalism of the State Bar of New Mexico."

The parties, appearing through counsel or pro se, will "meet and confer" no later than **Monday, September 24, 2012**, to formulate a Provisional Discovery Plan. Fed R. Civ. P. 26(f). The time allowed for discovery is generally 120 to 150 days and will run from the Rule 16 initial scheduling conference. Initial disclosures under Fed R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be made within fourteen days of the meet-and-confer session.

The parties will cooperate in preparing a Joint Status Report and Provision Discovery Plan ("JSR"), following the sample JSR available at the Court's web site. The blanks for

suggested/proposed dates are to be filled in by the parties. Actual case management deadlines will

be determined by the Court after consideration of the parties' requests. Plaintiff (or Defendant in

removed cases) is responsible for filing the JSR by Monday, October 1, 2012.

Parties may not modify case management deadlines on their own. Good cause must be

shown and the Court's express and written approval obtained for any modification of the dates in

the scheduling order that issues from the JSR.

A Rule 16 initial scheduling conference will be held by telephone on **Monday, October 15**,

2012, at 2:00 p.m. Parties shall call Judge Vidmar's "Meet Me" line at 505-348-2357 to be

connected to the proceedings. Counsel shall be prepared to discuss the following: discovery needs

and scheduling, all claims and defenses, the use of scientific evidence and whether a Daubert

hearing is needed, see Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc., 509 U.S. 579, 590–92 (1993), initial

disclosures, and the timing of expert disclosures and reports under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2). We will

also discuss settlement prospects, alternative dispute resolution possibilities, and consideration of

consent pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). Client attendance is not required.

Pre-trial practice in this case shall be in accordance with the foregoing.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the deadlines shall be as follows:

Meet and Confer by: Monday, September 24, 2012

JSR filed by: Monday, October 1, 2012

Initial Disclosures due within fourteen days of the meet-and-confer session, but in no event later than:

Tuesday, October 9, 2012

Telephonic Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference: Monday, October 15, 2012,

at 2:00 p.m.

2

IT IS SO ORDERED.

STEPHAN M. VIDMAR

United States Magistrate Judge